

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/764,006	WOOD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vivian Chen	1773	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Vivian Chen, Exr.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. DiPietro, Atty.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 March 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

25-56

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. DiPietro gave authorization for Examiner's Amendment to place case in condition for allowance (e.g., correction of typographical errors; cancellation of non-elected claims; etc.)
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)